

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,761	01/17/2002	Richard Nils Dawson	839-1164	2685
	7590 11/14/2003		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYË P.C./G.E. 1100 N. GLEBE RD.			PHAN, THIEM D	
SUITE 800	L KD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON	, VA 22201		3729	
	,	t was	DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003	3
		· .		7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/046,761	DAWSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tim Phan	3729			
	DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the				
Period for Reply						
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specif - If NO period for reply is spe - Failure to reply within the se	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 the mailing date of this communication. ied above is less than thirty (30) days, a replicified above, the maximum statutory period to rextended period for reply will, by statute ffice later than three months after the mailin.	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely file	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on <u>21 A</u>	ugust 2003.				
2a)⊠ This action is F	INAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
		nce except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>14-28</u>	is/are pending in the application	n.				
4a) Of the abov	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-28</u>	Claim(s) <u>15-28</u> is/are allowed.					
	☑ Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specificatio	n is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s)	filed on is/are: a)∏ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may no	ot request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
<u> </u>	• ,,	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	*			
11)☐ The oath or dec	laration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 119 and 120					
a) All b) So 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies o application * See the attached 13) Acknowledgmen since a specific re 37 CFR 1.78. a) The transla 14) Acknowledgmen	me * c) None of: copies of the priority document copies of the priority document f the certified copies of the prior on from the International Burea I detailed Office action for a list t is made of a claim for domest eference was included in the fir tion of the foreign language pro t is made of a claim for domest	ts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. O and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)						
	ed (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tatement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed in Paper No. 6 (filed 8/21/03) has been fully considered and made of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over C. T. Hibbard (US 1,685,054) hereinafter '054.

The '054 teaches the claimed invention, including:

- providing a stator frame (Cf. Fig. 1, element 1),
- connecting many key bars (Cf. Fig. 2, element 5) with dovetail to stator frame,
- forming a stator core (Cf. page 2, lines 34 & 35) including:
 - forming a package of stator section laminations (Cf. Fig. 1, element 2; page 1, lines 25 & 26) to be coupled to stator frame through a dovetail slot (Cf. Fig. 2, element 5),

Art Unit: 3729

• forming another lamination to be inserted (Cf. Fig. 1, element 2) and coupled to the stator frame through another dovetail slot, except for pre-packaging stator core.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to pre-package the stator core for ease of manufacturing since it was known in the applicants' disclosure that pre-packaged stator core sections are old art (Cf. Applicants' disclosure, page 1, lines 25 & 26).

Allowed Claims

4. Claims 15-28 are allowed

Response to Arguments

5. Applicants' arguments filed 8/21/03 have been duly considered but they are not persuasive for the following reasons:

Applicants recite *inter alia* "... pre-packaged stator core... manually stacked stator core" (Cf. Claim 1) which is old art, as disclosed in the Applicants' specification (Cf. Page 1, lines 25 & 26). The Office's position states that the '054 teaches the claimed invention which reads on

Art Unit: 3729

Applicant's claimed invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to pre-package the stator core of two or more laminations to speed up the manufacturing process since it was known in the Applicants' disclosure that pre-packaged stator core sections are well known in the past.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure.

Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Furthermore, the Patent Office saith not.

Art Unit: 3729

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tim Phan whose telephone number is 703-605-0707. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter VO can be reached on 703-308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Ty

Tim Phan Examiner Art Unit 3729 CARL J. ARBES
PRIMARY EXAMINER

tp November 6, 2003